Cas	e 3:10-cv-00986-WQH-NLS Doo	cument 4	Filed 05/12/10	PageID.13	Page 1 of 3
1 2 3 4 5					
6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9				5.110 40 O	0.4 111011 111 0
10	MICHELLE NEWBERN; EUC HARRIS,	ENE			86-WQH-NLS
11 12	V.C	Plaintiffs	ord S,	EK	
13	vs. CARISMA DE LOS REYS; AI AGUILERA; BETTY HAZE; I BROUSSARD,	MBER ERICA			
14		Defendants	S.		
15 16	HAYES, Judge:				
17	The matters before the Court are the Motion for Leave to Proceed in Forma Pauperi				
18	BACKGROUND On May 7, 2010, Plaintiffs Michelle Newbern and Eugene Harris, nonprisoners proceeding pro se, initiated this action by filing a Complaint in this Court. (Doc. # 1). Or May 7, 2010, Plaintiffs filed the Motion for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis ("Motion to Proceed IFP"), and the Motion to Appoint Counsel. (Doc. # 2, 3).				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24	I. Motion to Proceed IFP	711			
25	All parties instituting any civil action, suit or proceeding in a district court of the United				
States, except an application for writ of habeas corpus, must p					
27	U.S.C. § 1914(a). An action may proceed despite a plaintiff's failure to prepay the e				orepay the entire fee
28	only if the plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.				

§ 1915(a). See Rodriguez v. Cook, 169 F.3d 1176, 1177 (9th Cir. 1999).

In their affidavit accompanying the Motion to Proceed IFP, Plaintiffs state that they are not currently employed, but receive Social Security disability benefits. (Doc. # 2 at 2). Plaintiffs state that they have no assets of value. (Doc. # 2 at 2-3). The Court has reviewed Plaintiffs' affidavit and finds it is sufficient to show that Plaintiffs are unable to pay the fees required to maintain this action. The Court grants the Motion to Proceed IFP pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

II. Initial Screening Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(b)

After granting IFP status, the Court must dismiss the case if the case "fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B).

The standard used to evaluate whether a Complaint states a claim is a liberal one, particularly when the action has been filed pro se. *See Estelle v. Gamble*, 429 U.S. 97, 97 (1976). However, even a "liberal interpretation ... may not supply elements of the claim that were not initially pled." *Ivey v. Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Alaska*, 673 F.2d 266, 268 (9th Cir. 1982). "[P]ro se litigants are bound by the rules of procedure." *Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 54 (9th Cir. 1995). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 provides that "[a] pleading that states a claim for relief must contain ... a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief...." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). "[A] plaintiff's obligation to provide the grounds of his entitlement to relief requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will not do." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (quotation omitted).

The Complaint, in its entirety, reads: "Plaintiff alleges: that the[] four Defendants ha[ve] violated [our] civil rights and [our] disabled rights under the Americans with Disability Act. The[y] are State employees that are involved in this case that have discriminated under the color of law." (Doc. # 1 at 1).

These allegations are insufficient to put Defendants on notice of the claims against them, as required by Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. For this reason, the Court finds that Plaintiffs fail to state a claim on which relief can be granted.

III. Appointment of Counsel

In light of the Court's sua sponte dismissal of this action, Plaintiffs' request for appointment of counsel is denied as moot.

CONCLUSION

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion for Leave to Proceed in Formal Pauperis (Doc. # 2) is **GRANTED**. The Complaint is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. No later than **THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the date of this Order, Plaintiffs may file an amended complaint, which shall be entitled, "First Amended Complaint," and which shall comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. If Plaintiffs do not file an amended complaint within thirty days, the Court will order this case to be closed. The Motion to Appoint Counsel (Doc. # 3) is **DENIED** as moot.

DATED: May 12, 2010

WILLIAM Q. HAYES United States District Judge